Chapter 677

Physicians

Chapter 677

CASE CITATIONS: State v. Buck, (1953) 200 Or 87, 262 P2d 495; Board of Medical Examiners v. Mintz, (1963) 233 Or 441, 378 P2d 945; State v. Elliott, (1963) 234 Or 522, 383 P2d 382; State v. Hawkins, (1970) 255 Or 39, 463 P2d 858.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Employing unlicensed physicians in state institutions, 1948-50, p 334; licensed masseur advertising "physical therapy," 1956-58, p 250; "drug" or "medicine" as determined by use, 1962-64, p 206; applicability to operation of medical laboratories, 1962-64, p 324; use by optometrist of electronic tonometer, 1962-64, p 436; as separate and distinct from statute licensing osteopathic physicians, 1964-66, p 387; application of proposed sales tax law to sale of eyeglasses by optometrists and opticians, 1966-68, p 438; city license fee imposed on state licensed occupations, (1970) Vol 34, p 1089.

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 36 OLR 154; 41 OLR 326; 6 WLJ 602.

677.010

NOTES OF DECISIONS

A complaint sufficiently informed the defendant of the nature of the charge against him when it charged him with performing an abortion. Board of Medical Examiners v. Buck, (1951) 192 Or 66, 200 Or 488, 232 P2d 791, 258 P2d 124, app. dis., 346 US 919, 98 L Ed 202, 74 S Ct 1029.

FURTHER CITATIONS: Board of Medical Examiners v. Mintz, (1963) 233 Or 441, 378 P2d 945.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Requirements for operation of laboratory by licensed physician, 1956-58, p 139; use of fluorescein by optometrists, 1962-64, p 206; medical laboratory procedures as practice of medicine, 1962-64, p 324; use of diagnostic instruments in the practice of optometry, 1962-64, p 466; distinguishing between diagnosis and technical performance of laboratory tests, 1964-66, p 353; supervision of nurse immunizing or giving tuberculin tests, (1969) Vol 34, p 900.

677.060

NOTES OF DECISIONS

This section was not unconstitutional as an abridgement of privileges and immunities under U.S. Const. Am. 14, §1. State v. Smith (1929) 127 Or 680, 273 P 343.

The right accorded a patient to employ the practitioner or treatment of his choice does not exempt from prosecution one who practices without a license. State v. Burroughs, (1929) 130 Or 480, 280 P 653.

The exemption contained in subsection (9) [now (7)] is limited to those who believe in mental treatment. State v. Lee Chue, (1929) 130 Or 99, 279 P 285.

A corporation may carry on the business of using the

X-ray in connection with electrotherapy and roentgenology. Doumitt v. Diemer, (1933) 144 Or 36, 23 P2d 918.

This section makes it clear that this chapter does not apply to persons practicing the other healing arts. Sutton v. Cook, (1969) 254 Or 116, 458 P2d 402.

FURTHER CITATIONS: Wood v. Miller, (1938) 158 Or 444, 76 P2d 963; Board of Medical Examiners v. Buck, (1954) 200 Or 488, 258 P2d 124.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Application of subsection (9) (now (7)], 1926-28, p 257; giving of divine or magnetic healing treatment by unlicensed person, 1928-30, p 98; right of doctor of medicine to give chiropractic adjustments, 1930-32, p 10; person recommending diets as within exceptions, 1934-36, p 535; kind of physicians authorized to excuse pupils from physical training and issue teachers' health certificates, 1936-38, p 678; use of letters, "M.D.," 1940-1942, p 411; practice of electrolysis without license as cosmetic therapist, 1942-44, p 87; use of electrotherapy and hydrotherapy by a naturopath, 1948-50, p 42; employment of unlicensed physician in state institutions, 1948-50, p 334; use or prescription of drugs by chiropractor or naturopath, 1950-52, p 384; licensing of physical therapy technicians, 1952-54, p 30; use of term "physical therapy" by masseurs, 1956-58, p 250; medication by practical nurse or aide, 1962-64, p 433; use of diagnostic instruments in the practice of optometry, 1962-64, p 466; certification of pupil's health by chiropractic physician, (1970) Vol 35, p 141.

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 36 OLR 154; 49 OLR 307; 6 WLJ 602.

677.070

NOTES OF DECISIONS

This section makes it clear that this chapter does not apply to persons practicing the other healing arts. Sutton v. Cook, (1969) 254 Or 116, 458 P2d 402.

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 6 WLJ 602.

677.080

NOTES OF DECISIONS

The indictment charging the accused of practicing without a license was not required to negative the exemptions specified by 1927 c. 452 §14 [ORS 677.060]. State v. Burroughs, (1929) 130 Or 480, 280 P 653.

The fact that the principal witness against defendant was a detective who did not intend to take the medicine prescribed was immaterial. State v. Lee Chue, (1929) 130 Or 99, 279 P 285.

Costs were not recoverable by a defendant acquitted of practicing without a license. State v. Amsden, (1917) 86 Or 55, 166 P 942, 167 P 1014.

That the treatment was harmless was not a defense to prosecution for practicing without a license. State v. Burroughs, (1929) 130 Or 480, 280 P 653.

The state was not required to elect between a charge that the accused administered drugs for compensation and the charge that he undertook to cure a disease. Id.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: License to practice naturopathy as including right to practice surgery, 1926-28, p 455; dentist as a "legally qualified physician or surgeon," 1928-30, p 326; right of chiropractor or naturopath to use words "Dr.," "Doctor" or "Physician," 1930-32, p 594; charging of fee by unlicensed person doing business as a "tea company," 1934-36, p 535; the administration of anesthetics by either a registered or practical nurse under supervision of licensed physicians and surgeons as practicing medicine, 1942-44, p 188; employment of unlicensed physician in state institutions, 1948-50, p 334; certification of pupil's health by chiropractic physician, (1970) Vol 35, p 141.

677.085

NOTES OF DECISIONS

The fact that diagnosis or treatment of a fracture constitutes the practice of medicine under this section does not make unlawful the diagnosis or treatment of a fracture by a chiropractor. Sutton v. Cook, (1969) 254 Or 116, 458 P2d 402.

FURTHER CITATIONS: State v. Kuzirian, (1961) 228 Or 619, 365 P2d 1046, 88 ALR2d 1284.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Hypnotism as practice of medicine, 1956-58, p 217; licensed masseur advertising "physical therapy", 1956-58, p 250; medical laboratory procedures as practice of medicine, 1962-64, p 324; use of diagnostic instruments in the practice of optometry, 1962-64, p 466; supervision of nurse immunizing or giving tuberculin tests, (1969) Vol 34, p 900; certification of pupil's health by chiropractic physician, (1970) Vol 35, p 141.

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 6 WLJ 602.

677.100

NOTES OF DECISIONS

Qualifications imposed upon applicants can be open to objection only when they are unattainable or bear no reasonable relationship to practice of the profession. State v. Randolph, (1892) 23 Or 74, 31 P 201.

The Act of 1889 was not unconstitutional because it permitted the licensing of persons theretofore engaged in practice without examination. Id.

Under a former similar statute, a practitioner was not entitled to a license when he failed to request one within the time limited. Miller v. Medical Bd., (1898) 33 Or 5, 52 P 763.

Under the Act of 1889, any practitioner who complied with its requirements was licensed irrespective of his learning. State v. Simonis, (1901) 39 OR 111, 65 P 595.

FURTHER CITATIONS: Barmore v. Bd. of Medical Examiners, (1891) 21 Or 301, 28 P 8; State v. Smith, (1929) 127 Or 680, 273 P 343.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Right of unlicensed physician to practice as assistant to a licensed physician, 1922-24, p 738; grounds for refusing leave to take examinations, 1922-24 p 738; revocation of reciprocity license granted physician who became connected with an advertising specialist, 1924-26, p 175; citizenship as a qualification, 1924-26, p 326; training required of applicant seeking license by reciprocity, 1924-26, p 347; effect of this section on the Basic Science Act, 1938-40, p 275; similarity to statute licensing osteo-

pathic physicians, 1954-56, p 65; complying with equivalency provision, 1964-66, p 387.

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 36 OLR 154.

677.110

CASE CITATIONS: Zeh v. Nat. Hosp. Assn., (1963) 233 Or 221, 377 P2d 852.

677.120

ATTY GEN. OPINIONS: Reciprocity license, 1930-32, p 300; acceptability of certificate issued by national board of examiners, 1952-54, p 131.

677.180

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Authority of board to include additional information in published list of licensees, 1940-42, p 251.

677.188

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 49 OLR 302-321; 2 EL 235.

677.190

NOTES OF DECISIONS

There is no repugnancy between the 1951 amendatory Act and the Act as it was before amended in so far as this section is concerned; no savings clause was required in the 1951 amendatory Act as to proceedings instituted prior thereto. Board of Medical Examiners v. Buck, (1951) 192 Or 66, 200 Or 488, 232 P2d 791, 258 P2d 124, app. dis., 346 US 919, 74 S Ct 1029, 98 L Ed 202.

"Unprofessional conduct" is an adequate standard. Board of Medical Examiners v. Mintz, (1963) 233 Or 441, 378 P2d 945.

Promulgation of rules specifying acts which constitute unprofessional conduct is not a condition precedent to the board's right to revoke a license. Id.

Unless person is charged as a physician in the indictment, the fact that the abortion is performed under the Medical Practice Act is a matter of defense. State v. Hawkins, (1970) 255 Or 39, 463 P2d 858.

FURTHER CITATIONS: State v. Buck, (1953) 200 Or 87, 262 P2d 495; State v. Dewey, (1956) 206 Or 496, 553, 292 P2d 799; State v. Elliott, (1963) 234 Or 522, 383 P2d 382.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Revocation of reciprocity license granting physician who became connected with advertising specialist, 1924-26, p 175; reinstatement of physician whose license has been revoked, 1924-26, p 208; propriety of advertisement wherein physician undertakes to effect a cure, 1924-26, p 605; revocation of license upon conviction of violating Harrison Narcotic Act, 1926-28, p 167; revocation of license upon conviction of selling narcotics, 1928-30, p 159; revocation of license upon conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude, 1928-30, p 545; revocation for conviction of crime as effected by statutes of limitation and pardon, 1928-30, p 564; advertising to obtain patients as grounds for revocation, 1958-60, p 125; liability of performing abortion without consent of husband, (1969) Vol 34, p 574.

LAW REVIEW CITATIONS: 34 OLR 192; 49 OLR 302-321; 2 EL 235.

677.200

NOTES OF DECISIONS

Under a former similar statute, the complaint charging abortion was insufficient where it omitted mention of an essential element of that offense. Board of Medical Examiners v. Eisen, (1912) 61 Or 492, 123 P 52. Distinguished in Board of Medical Examiners v. Buck, (1951) 192 OR 66, 200 Or 488, 232 P2d 791, 258 P2d 124, app. dis., 346 US 919, 74 S Ct 1029, 98 L Ed 202.

The right of a licensee to practice medicine is a property right which may be divested only by procedure satisfying the due process clause of the Federal Constitution. Board of Medical Examiners v. Buck, (1951) 192 Or 66, 200 Or 488, 232 P2d 791, 258 P2d 124, app dis., 346 US 919, 74 S Ct 1029, 98 L Ed 202.

For the purposes of the statute of limitations an amended complaint relates back to the filing of the original. Id.

An applicant for reinstatement of an osteopathic license is entitled to receive written charges, notice and a hearing if board proposes to deny the application on the grounds of misconduct. Board of Medical Examiners v. Cusick, (1963) 234 Or 533, 383 P2d 69.

It was not error to make the state a party to a revocation proceeding in which it was alleged that the accused had committed an abortion. State v. Estes, (1898) 34 Or 196, 51 P 77, 52 P 571, 55 P 25.

Evidence was insufficient to warrant revocation of a license on the charge that the licensee brought about an abortion. Board of Medical Examiners v. Eisen, (1912) 61 Or 492, 123 P 52.

A complaint sufficiently informed the defendant of the nature of the charge against him when it charged him with performing an abortion. Board of Medical Examiners v. Buck, (1951) 192 Or 66; 200 Or 488, 232 P2d 791, 258 P2d 124, app. dis., 346 US 919, 74 S Ct 1029, 98 L Ed 202.

The Board of Medical Examiners can permit the amending of a complaint in the proper case. Id.

There was no evidence to support the action of the board. Board of Medical Examiners v. Cusick, (1963) 234 Or 533, 383 P2d 69.

677.208

NOTES OF DECISIONS

1. Under former similar statute

An attorney who executed a notice of appeal on behalf of the board was presumed to have been duly authorized to do so. State v. Estes, (1898) 34 Or 196, 51 P 77, 52 P 571, 55 P 25.

Notice of appeal to the board was unnecessary if the state had been made a party and service had upon it. Id.

The duty of filing the record in the circuit court rested

upon the secretary, and the accused was not responsible for his delinquency therein. Id.

A court having jurisdiction of an appeal did not lose it by submitting to a jury the propriety of the order. State v. Dean, (1928) 123 Or 537, 262 P 936.

"Legal evidence" as used in this section meant substantial evidence. Board of Medical Examiners v. Mintz, (1963) 233 Or 441, 378 P2d 945.

An applicant for reinstatement of an osteopathic license was entitled to receive written charges, notice and a hearing if board proposed to deny the application on the grounds of misconduct. Board of Medical Examiners v. Cusick, (1963) 234 Or 533, 383 P2d 69.

FURTHER CITATIONS: Barmore v. Bd. of Medical Examiners, (1891) 21 Or 301, 28 P 8; Miller v. Medical Bd., (1898) 33 Or 5, 52 P 763; Board of Medical Examiners v. Buck, (1954) 200 Or 488, 258 P2d 124.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Appeal from order generally, 1936-38, p 130.

677.235

CASE CITATIONS: State v. Lee Chue, (1929) 130 Or 99, 279 P 285.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Validity of restricting appointments to nominees of unofficial group, 1964-66, p 178; board as self-supporting regulatory agency outside Department of Commerce, (1971) Vol 35, p 504.

677.265

CASE CITATIONS: Miller v. Medical Bd., (1898) 33 Or 5, 52 P 763.

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Authority of board to institute proceedings for violation of statute, 1936-38, p 397; authority and duties of special counsel, 1938-40, p 164; powers of a special agent appointed by the board as limited to the language of this section, 1944-46, p 166.

677.280

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Allowance of additional salary to secretary for conducting examinations, 1922-24, p 154.

677.290

ATTY. GEN. OPINIONS: Authority of board to expend funds for advertising, 1922-24, p 738; board as self-supporting regulatory agency outside Department of Commerce, (1971) Vol 35, p 504.